

#### Improving biopesticide performance: AMBER

Erika Wedgwood

Sacha White Jude Bennison Aoife O'Driscoll, ADAS



#### Summary

- What we need for improved biopesticide performance
- Filling gaps in knowledge on biofungicide persistence
- Benchmarking trial on aphids with EPF
- How modelling can help guide further trials
- Next steps in AMBER



# What we need for improved biopesticide performance

- The right biopesticide(s) & application rate
- Used within an IPM programme
- In the right place
- Applied in the best way for crop architecture
- At the right application frequency
- At the right time need knowledge on pest/disease biology, biopesticide mode of action & conditions needed, speed of kill, persistence

# Understanding biofungicide persistence

- AQ10 (Ampelomyces quisqualis) against powdery mildews on protected crops
- When conditions conducive to mildew, or below 3% leaf area mildewed
- Up to 12 applications
- Repeat every 7-10 days
- Prestop (*Gliocladium catenulatum*) on all protected crops & outdoor strawberry EAMU2843 of 2018 for outdoor
- *Botrytis* & *Didymella* on leaves/stems, three applications at minimum 7 day interval
- *Phytophthora, Pythium, Rhizoctonia* & *Fusarium spp.* as drenches, 4-6 wks repeat





# Understanding biofungicide persistence

- How long do these beneficial fungi persist / remain viable in the crop after spraying?
- What conditions improve their persistence?
- What spore concentration (cfus) needs to be present for effective control?
- A literature review for AMBER determined there has been surprisingly limited research looking at survival over time on foliage of *G. catenulatum* & *A. quisqualis* & how this affects efficacy



### Literature review Key viability/persistence factors

Parameter	Prestop	AQ10	Serenade ASO
Humidity (optimum)	85-95% RH	90-95% RH (possibly 70% after 48h)	76-98%
UV sensitivity	UV-B reduced viability by 40%	No specific info on UV	No specific info on UV
Temperature activity range (optimum)	6 - 30°C (20 – 25°C)	12 - 30°C (25°C)	11 - 52°C (25 - 35°C)
Survival period on foliage minus target disease	Unclear; maybe 3-4 weeks	Unclear; "within a few days"	5 days

#### Investigating AQ10 & Prestop persistence

- To investigate persistence of Prestop & AQ10 on foliage in the absence of a target disease
- Tomato used as a model crop
- Controlled environment conditions at 25 °C & 95% RH
- Leaves sampled at intervals, biofungicide spores washed off, cultured & viable colonies counted on agar plates







![](_page_6_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### Prestop - survival period

- *G. catenulatum* still viable 14 days after Prestop foliar spray in the absence of a disease host
- Initial decline at Day 1, increase at Day 7 possibly *G. catenulatum* multiplying on the leaves?

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Prestop – competition & hyperparasitism

- Gliocladium catenulatum has fast growth & deprives pathogens of living space & nourishment
- Hyperparasitic, producing enzymes to break down pathogen cell walls

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### AQ10 - survival period

- Short persistence of AQ10 in the absence of powdery mildew
- Rapid decline in A. quisqualis from Day 1 to Day 4
- By Day 7 a viable population was virtually undetectable.

![](_page_9_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### AQ10 – colony survival

Colonies after 8 day's growth on agar

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

Day 0Day 1Day 4Day 7

Sampling interval after spraying AQ10 onto foliage, showing few *Ampelomyces* colonies alive by Day 4

![](_page_10_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### AQ10 - mycoparasitism

- Ampelomyces is a hyperparasite & so requires a host to feed on, reproduce and survive
- For 7-10 days it spreads in the powdery mildew hyphae, spore-producing conidiophores & mildew spores without killing it (latent phase)
- It then forms pycnidia in 2-4 days & the infect mildew cells die
- Ampelomyces releases its spores from the pycnidia it forms inside the parasitised mildew host structures

![](_page_11_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Biofungicide work in 2019 – Controlled environment cabinet

 To compare AQ10 spray timings at various stages in the development of powdery mildew infection

To determine;

- survival of *A. quisqualis*
- changes in powdery mildew coverage & visible
  *A. quisqualis* parasitism

![](_page_12_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Biofungicide work in 2019 – Nursery site

- Investigate Ampelomyces survival & visible powdery mildew parasitism on hebe & rosemary
- Compare the efficacy of AQ10 with and without an adjuvant
- Monitor the environmental conditions that lead to a powdery mildew outbreak using 30MHz temperature & humidity loggers

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

# AMBER benchmarking trial - aphids on sweet pepper

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Treatments against aphids

- Biological control parasites & predators applied by the nursery against aphids. The other treatments had this in addition
- Botanigard WP (*Beauvaria bassiana*) on-label against whitefly. However, laboratory tests have shown it causes aphid mortality
- Majestik (maltodextrin)
- Tank mix of Botanigard WP & Majestik. Certis suggest this for use against whitefly & so it was tried here against aphids

MAJESTIK

### Numbers of aphids/leaf after 2 sprays at a 6 day interval

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Conclusions

- Botanigard WP will infect and kill *Myzus persicae*
- However, aphids continue to reproduce until they die from the fungal infection
- In the pepper trial, aphid starting numbers were too high for Botanigard to be effective
- In another trial, WFT numbers were too low on pot mums for conclusive results
- Modelling suggested as a initial way to determine parameters of importance

![](_page_17_Picture_6.jpeg)

### How modelling can help

- Predict pest population build-up & control with biopesticides & so guide trials on optimum strategies
- Initial model for glasshouse whitefly (*Trialeurodes* vaporariorum) and tobacco whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*) and EPFs (*Lecanicillium* and *Beauveria*)
- Use model to investigate effect of:

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Dose
- Different application timings and frequencies
- Different initial whitefly populations
- Different host plants

![](_page_18_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### Boxcar model for glasshouse whitefly

- Simulates number of individuals at each life stage (train carriage)
- Tracks the maturation of individuals to next life stage (movement between carriages)
- Calculates numbers of new eggs laid per day
- Individuals lost to natural mortality
- Simulates applications of EPF (frequency & timing) and control efficacy (persistence, mortality & speed of kill)

![](_page_19_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Glasshouse whitefly parameter values

#### 21°C on tomato

Parameter	Value	Temperature dependent
Egg development time	8.1	Yes
1st instar development time	4.5	Yes
2nd instar development time	3.3	Yes
3rd instar development time	3.5	Yes
4 instar + prepupa + pupa development time	8.7	Yes
Adult longevity	39.2	Yes
Egg survival (%)	96.3	No but can adjust for extremes
1st instar survival (%)	95.8	No but can adjust for extremes
2nd instar survival (%)	97.4	No but can adjust for extremes
3rd instar survival (%)	96.3	No but can adjust for extremes
4 instar + prepupa + pupa survival (%)	92.7	No but can adjust for extremes
Adult survival (%)	96.4	No
♀ sex ratio	0.483	No
Pre-oviposition period (days)	1.3	Yes
<b>Oviposition frequency (eggs/day)</b>	6.7	Yes

### Predicted whitefly population growth rate at different starting populations

Maximum population size = 10,000,000

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Effect of EPF infection efficacy on whitefly growth (using dummy data)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

\* = Unable to eradicate. Pest population reaches 10m after 92 days

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Effect of EPF persistence on whitefly growth (using dummy data)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

\* = Unable to eradicate. Pest population reaches 10m after 97 days

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Effect of EPF speed of kill on whitefly growth (using dummy data)

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Effect of initial population size on EPF efficacy (using dummy data)

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Effect of spray programme start date on EPF efficacy (using dummy data)

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Effect of spray frequency on EPF efficacy (using dummy data)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

reaches 10m after 143 days

#### Future work in AMBER

- Select the most promising parameter to validate in trials e.g. starting pest population
- Validation of whitefly model predictions
- Create a model for Myzus persicae
- Lab work completion on EPFs of *M. persicae*
- Consider botanical biopesticides' performance
- Further work on biofungicide persistence & recommendations to growers, utilising results from AMBER & other AHDB projects which have tested their efficacy

![](_page_28_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Thanks to:

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Levy payers & AHDB Horticulture
- Host growers
- AMBER team members

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_7.jpeg)